

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/639,091 | OHISHI, SYOUJI | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jean B. Corielus | 2637 | |

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corielus.

(2) Rustan J. Hill.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2005

Time: 12:16 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 5, 10, 11, 14 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)